

**Report to the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation)**

**Date: 24 November 2015**

**Subject: Bagley Lane, Farsley - Traffic Management Measures**

**Capital Scheme Number: 32446**

Are specific electoral Wards affected? If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s): Calverley & Farsley	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number: Appendix number:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**Summary of main issues**

- 1 The Best Council Plan 2013-17 outlines how Leeds City will achieve its ambition to become the Best City in the UK and Leeds City Council the best authority. According to the Best Council Plan, the success of the Best Council objective: will be partly measured through reduced numbers of people killed or seriously injured on the city's roads. By enhancing the local residential environments by reducing vehicle speeds will provide a safer and friendlier road environment for all users.
- 2 This report seeks approval to undertake the detailed design and implementation of highway works associated with the Stonebridge Housing development of the former Springfield Iron Works site on Bagley Lane, Farsley.
- 3 As part of the planning permission Stonebridge Homes have made a financial contribution through a 106 Agreement for highway works within the vicinity of the development land along Bagley Lane.
- 4 These highway works are in the form of traffic calming and our proposals are to introduce 3 sets of speed cushions along Bagley Lane and a flat top speed table at the junction of Kirklees Drive

**Recommendations**

- 4 The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:

- i) approve the detailed design and implementation of a scheme to introduce highway works associated with the Stonebridge Housing development at the former Springfield Iron Works site on Bagley Lane, Farsley as shown on drawing number TM-08-2413-12-01;
- ii) Inject £21,500 into the Capital Programme funded from a Section 106 private developer receipt;
- iii) Give authority to incur expenditure of £21,500, which comprises of £17,200 works costs, £4,300 staff fees and legal fees, fully funded from a Section 106 private developer receipt; and
- iv) Request the City Solicitor to:
  - a. Give authority to advertise a 90c Notice for the implementation of road humps as required under the Highways Act 1980;

## **1 Purpose of this report**

- 1.1 To seek approval to undertake the detailed design and implementation of highway works associated with the Stonebridge Housing development of the former Springfield Iron Works site on Bagley Lane, Farsley.
- 1.2 To seek approval to advertise a 90c Notice for the implementation of road humps as required under the Highways Act 1980;
- 1.4 To seek approval to incur costs of £21,500 for the design, supervision and implementation and inclusive of advertising costs, on the drawing number outlined in recommendation 2.

## **2 Background information**

- 2.1 Leeds City Council entered an agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to undertake the implementation of a series of highway works in the area off Bagley Lane, Farsley.
- 2.2 The Section 106 Agreement allows the Council to identify and implement highway works in the vicinity of the Stonebridge Housing development in the interest of road safety.

## **3 Main issues**

- 3.0 It is proposed that highway works are implemented in the form of traffic calming and our proposals are to introduce 3 sets of speed cushions along Bagley Lane and a flat top speed table at the junction of Kirklees Drive.

### **3.1 Design Proposals and Full Scheme Description.**

3.2 To implement a traffic calming features on Bagley Lane consisting of 3 sets of speed cushions and a flat top speed table at the junction of Kirklees Drive as shown on drawing number TM-08-2413-12-01.

3.3 The objective of this proposal is to improve the local environment, especially for pedestrians, by reducing the likelihood of injury accidents, slowing driver speeds.

### **3.7 Programme**

It is anticipated that the proposal will be implemented within the 2015/ 2016 financial year.

## **4 Corporate Considerations**

### **4.1 Consultation and Engagement**

4.1.1 Ward Members: Ward Members were consulted by email on the 8 October 2015. Ward members were supportive of the proposals.

4.1.2 Emergency Services and Metro were consulted by letter and email on the 8 October 2015. No objections were received to the proposals.

4.1.3 The general public will be consulted via notices on street lighting columns during the public advertisement phase, along with an advert in the Yorkshire Post newspaper.

### **4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration**

4.2.1 A screening document has been prepared and an independent impact assessment is not required for the approvals requested.

- Positive: The traffic calming features will slow driver speeds thus providing a safer environment for all road users. The flat top speed table at the junction will assist in slowing driver speeds where pedestrians, including people with mobility issues, young and older people, carers supporting wheelchairs and pushchairs, will cross.
- Negative: Slight reduction in air quality and a small increase in journey times due to lower speeds, however this is offset by the potential reduction in accidents.

### **4.3 Council policies and the Best Council Plan**

4.3.1 The proposal contributes to the policies in the West Yorkshire Local Transport Plan 2011-26 as follows:

4.3.2 Proposal 18 – Improve safety and security, seeking to minimise transport casualties

4.3.3 Proposal 22 – Define, develop and manage networks and facilities to encourage cycling and walking

4.3.4 Safety Audit: full safety audits will be carried out on this proposal and any recommendations received will be given full consideration and responded to.

#### 4.4 Resources and Value for Money

4.4.1 The estimated costs are £21,500, which comprises of £17,200 works costs, and £4,300 staff fees and legal fees, fully funded from a Section 106 private developer receipt;

#### Capital Funding and Cash Flow

Previous total Authority to Spend on this scheme	TOTAL £000's	TO MARCH 2015 £000's	FORECAST				
			2015/16 £000's	2016/17 £000's	2017/18 £000's	2018/19 £000's	2019 on £000's
LAND (1)	0.0						
CONSTRUCTION (3)	0.0						
FURN & EQPT (5)	0.0						
DESIGN FEES (6)	0.0						
OTHER COSTS (7)	0.0						
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Authority to Spend required for this Approval	TOTAL £000's	TO MARCH 2015 £000's	FORECAST				
			2015/16 £000's	2016/17 £000's	2017/18 £000's	2018/19 £000's	2019 on £000's
LAND (1)	0.0						
CONSTRUCTION (3)	17.2		17.2				
FURN & EQPT (5)	0.0						
DESIGN FEES (6)	3.3		3.3				
OTHER COSTS (7)	1.0		1.0				
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>21.5</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>21.5</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Total overall Funding (As per latest Capital Programme)	TOTAL £000's	TO MARCH 2015 £000's	FORECAST				
			2015/16 £000's	2016/17 £000's	2017/18 £000's	2018/19 £000's	2019 on £000's
Private Developer Sect 106	21.5		21.5				
Total Funding	21.5	0.0	21.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Balance / Shortfall =</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

4.5.1 There should be no additional revenue costs as a result of this capital scheme.

#### 4.6 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

4.6.1 The scheme is in the Annual Programme and subject to resolving any objections received it is anticipated to be completed within the 2015/2016 financial year.

## 4.7 Risk Management

4.7.1 There are no issues, over and above those expected when working in the public highway, generated by the proposals contained within this report.

## 5.0 Conclusions

5.1 The provision of traffic calming features will have a positive impact in this area as it will improve the local environment, especially for pedestrians, by slowing driver speeds.

## 6.0 Recommendations

6.1 The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:

- i) approve the detailed design and implementation of a scheme to introduce highway works associated with the Stonebridge Housing development at the former Springfield Iron Works site on Bagley Lane, Farsley as shown on drawing number TM-08-2413-12-01;
- ii) Inject £21,500 into the Capital Programme funded from a Section 106 private developer receipt;
- iii) Give authority to incur expenditure of £21,500, which comprises of £17,200 works costs, £4,300 staff fees and legal fees, fully funded from a Section 106 private developer receipt;
- iv) Request the City Solicitor to:
  - a) Give authority to advertise a 90c Notice for the implementation of road humps as required under the Highways Act 1980;

## 7 Background documents <sup>1</sup>

7.1 No items.

---

<sup>1</sup> The background documents listed in this section are available for inspection on request for a period of four years following the date of the relevant meeting. Accordingly this list does not include documents containing exempt or confidential information, or any published works. Requests to inspect any background documents should be submitted to the report author.

## Appendix 1

### Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

<b>Directorate: City Development</b>	<b>Service area: Highways &amp; Transportation</b>
<b>Lead person: Bobby Viridi</b>	<b>Contact number: 0113 2475297</b>

<b>1. Title: Bagley Lane, Farsley - Traffic Management Measures</b>		
Is this a:		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Strategy / Policy</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Service / Function</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other</b>
<b>If other, please specify</b>		

<b>2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening</b>
The screening focuses on a report to the Highways and Transportation Board requesting authority to undertake the detailed design, advertisement and implementation of a Traffic Management Scheme on Bagley Lane, Farsley which will introduce traffic calming features.
Leeds City Council entered an agreement under Section 106 of the Town and

Country Planning Act 1990 to undertake the implementation of a series of highway works in the area off Bagley Lane, Farsley.

The Section 106 Agreement allows the Council to identify and implement highway works in the vicinity of the Stonebridge Housing development in the interest of road safety.

**Main Issues**

- It is proposed to introduce traffic calming features on Bagley Lane consisting of 3 sets of speed cushions and a flat top speed table at the junction with Kirklees Drive.
- It is considered that these measures will assist in slowing driver speeds in the vicinity of the new housing development, thus creating a safer environment for all road users.

**3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration**

All the council’s strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

<b>Questions</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?	X	
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?		X
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		X
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		X
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and</li> </ul>		X

harassment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Advancing equality of opportunity</li> <li>• Fostering good relations</li> </ul>		
--	--	--

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4**.
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

<p><b>4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration</b></p>
<p>If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.</p> <p>Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration?</b> (<b>think about</b> the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)</li> </ul> <p>Consultation on the proposals was undertaken with Ward Members, the emergency services and West Yorkshire Combined Authority.</p> <p>A 90C Notice will be posted on site which advertises the intention to introduce road humps.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Key findings</b> (<b>think about</b> any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)</li> </ul> <p>Positive Impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposed traffic calming features will slow driver speeds thus providing a safer environment for all road users. The flat topped road hump in this location will assist in slowing driver speeds where pedestrians, including people with mobility issues, young and older people, carers supporting wheelchairs and pushchairs, will cross.</li> </ul>



<p>Negative Impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Slight reduction in air quality and a small increase in journey times due to lower speeds, however this is offset by the potential reduction in accidents.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Actions</b> (think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)</li> </ul> <p><b>N/A</b></p>

<p><b>5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.</b></p>	
Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	N/A
Date to complete your impact assessment	N/A
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)	N/A

<p><b>6. Governance, ownership and approval</b> Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening</p>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Job title</b>	<b>Date</b>
Alan Robinson	Principal Traffic Engineer	05/11/2015

<p><b>7. Publishing</b> This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.  Please send a copy to the Equality Team for publishing</p>	
<b>Date screening completed</b>	10/11/2015
<b>Date sent to Equality Team</b>	05/11/2015
<b>Date published</b> (To be completed by the Equality Team)	